Transitive Inference: Comparative Studies

Colin Allen HPS and Cog Sci Indiana University

Overview

- 1. Description of TI and comparative distribution
- 2. Method for standard laboratory investigation of TI
- 3. An associative model of TI: Value Transfer Theory
- 4. Experimental test of VTT
- 5. Backprop neural network model
- 6. A more complicated TI task
- 7. 2 more models: production system & hippocampus
- 8. Summary table
- 9. Outstanding issues

Transitive Inference \odot Example: A > B, B > C \therefore A > C Piaget 1937/1954: TI not before 7 yrs age; requires mental "seriation" -- a type of "Concrete Operational Thought" 4+ yr olds -- Bryant & Trabasso 1971 monkeys -- McGonigle & Chalmers 1977 ø pigeons -- Fersen et al. 1991

Basic Transitive Inference

- Basic Experiment: Train on A+B- and B+Cusing standard operant procedures; Test on AC
- Basic experiment has simple associationist explanation
- 5-element experiment: Train to criterion on A+B-, B+C-, C+D-, D+E-; Test on BD
- Seliminates simple associationist explanation

TP ≠ TI because VTT?

- Value Transfer Theory (Fersen et al. 1991): In any simultaneous discrimination task, some of the value associated with the S+ is transferred to the accompanying S-.
- B gains more from A+B- than D gains from C+D- because A has higher value than C
- Zentall's (1994) test of Positive VTT: Train to criterion on A₁₀₀^B0, C₅₀^D0; Test BD
- Ø Pigeons may only have "Transitive Performance"

Test of VTT?

7-element task: Train with pairs from ABCDEFG; Test with CE

Not tried with pigeons (too slow?); done by Bond et al. (2003) with 5 pinyon jays and 5 scrub jays (corvids smarter than pigeons?)

Pinyon jays faster to reach criterion, but required 3-stage training process and hundreds of exposures to the training set

Pinyon jays more social than scrub jays

Backprop: Computational Associative Model

- DeLillo et al. (2001): BD generalization is modeled in an artificial neural network using backward error propagation
- *a simple error-correcting rule can generate transitive behavior similar to the choice pattern of children and animals in the binary form of the five-term series task without requiring high-order logical or paralogical abilities."

Do monkeys reason?

- McGonigle & Chalmers (1977) pointed to Symbolic Distance Effect, End Anchoring, and other cognitive effects
- But ... these effects are replicated in the backprop model
- But, but ... backprop doesn't simultaneously handle standard and triple-discrimination task: Train AAB, ABB, BBC, BCC, CCD, CDD, DDE, DEE; Test BCD

Other models

- Production Rule Systems: Harris & McGonigle 1994; Wood, Leong & Bryson 2004
- Hippocampus
 - arat-cutting model: Dusek & Eichenbaum 1997
 - hippocampus-like artificial neural network model: Wu & Levy 1998

Summary

Tasks Models	3 element AC task	5 element BD task	end– anchor, SDE	7 element CE task	triadic BCD task (+ errors)
Simple Associationist	+				
Value Transfer Theory	+	t			
Backprop	+	+	+	?	
Production Rule	+	+	?	+	+
Explicit seriation	+	+	+	+	?

Some Remaining Issues

Which models account for:

Neurological separation of pair associations from serial knowledge (Dusek & Eichenbaum 1997)

 Ethological observations: of fast learning (e.g. chickens, Beaugrand et al. 1997) and large domains (e.g. Seyfarth & Cheney 2002)

